## M2-branes stretching between M5-branes

Dániel Nógrádi

Institute Lorentz for Theoretical Physics, University of Leiden P.O.Box 9506, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands

and

Department of Theoretical Physics, University of Wuppertal Gauss 20, 42119 Wuppertal, Germany

nogradi@lorentz.leidenuniv.nl

A generalization of Nahm's equation has been recently conjectured by Basu and Harvey to be the BPS condition describing the bound state of a stack of M2-branes ending on an M5-brane. In this note exact solutions are presented for the proposed BPS equation – which is from the point of view of the M2-brane world-volume dynamics – with boundary conditions appropriate for M2-branes stretching between two M5-branes. Unfortunately, since the action for multiple M5-branes or for multiple coincident M2-branes is not known, one can only resort to consistency checks of the proposal instead of a direct comparison of the M2 and M5 world-volume point of views. The existence of our solutions should be seen as such a consistency check of the conjecture, and also as a source of new insight into the dynamics of multiple M2 and M5-branes.

### 1 Introduction

In this work a specific configuration of M2-branes and M5-branes is studied in M-theory. In principle there would be (at least) three different approaches to such a problem. One could study the 11-dimensional localized supergravity solutions, the world-volume dynamics of the M2-branes or the world-volume dynamics of the M5-branes. In our example – a stack of M2-branes stretching between two separated M5-branes – the localized supergravity solution seems to be very difficult to find, the 3-dimensional multiple M2-brane world-volume action is not known, nor the 6-dimensional action for two separated M5-branes thus it seems that the possibilities are rather limited.

Although the action for multiple M2-branes is not known, recently a proposal has been made by Basu and Harvey for the BPS condition describing the bound state of a stack of M2-branes ending on an M5 [1]. Their equation is from the world-volume point of view of the M2-branes and it is analogous to the BPS condition describing the bound state of a stack of D1-branes ending on a D3-brane in type IIB string theory. More precisely, the BPS condition for the world-sheet dynamics of the D1-branes is Nahm's equation [2–4] and the Basu-Harvey conjecture states that an appropriate generalization of the latter may be interpreted as a BPS condition for the M2-M5 brane system.

In this note exact and rather explicit solutions for the generalized Nahm equation are found for a specific geometry, namely a stack of M2-branes stretching between two separated M5-branes.

Related – and mostly simpler – brane configurations have been studied extensively of course in all three aspects mentioned above. As for supergravity, M2 and M5-brane solutions were found long ago [5,6] as well as orthogonally intersecting M2 or M5-branes [7]. There also exist solutions for a single M2 intersecting an M5 [8,9]. Furthermore, branes may end on other branes [10,11] and an M2 may stretch between two separated M5's [12]. A thorough review of these matters with an emphasis on intersecting brane solutions of 11 and 10 dimensional supergravity can be found in [13]. However, it might be worth reminding that these intersecting brane solutions are such that the branes are not fully localized.

The world-volume dynamics of branes have also been investigated in a variety of cases. The membrane action has been given in [14]. For a stack of membranes ending on an M5, although the world-volume action for multiple membranes is not known, the solution on the world-volume of the M5 has been found and is the self-dual string [15]. Unfortunately not only the action for multiple coincident membranes is not known, so is the case for separated M5-branes. This is in contrast to the situation in string theory where the world-volume dynamics of D-branes is given by well-understood gauge theories. For instance, if coincident D1-branes stretch between separated D3's, then the corresponding BPS condition is the Nahm equation from the point of view of the D1's and the Bogomolny equation from the point of view of the D3's [4].

Guided by the analogy to the well-understood D1-D3 brane system [16–18] the conjecture of Basu and Harvey could be made without knowing the action for a stack of membranes

ending on an M5. The descriptions for this bound state by the proposed generalization of Nahm's equation on the one hand (membranes point of view) and the black string solution on the other hand (M5 point of view) were shown to match for some relevant quantities [1]. The fact that exact solutions – presented in this short note – exist for a stack of membranes stretching between two separated M5-branes should be seen as a further consistency check.

It is also possible to modify the Basu-Harvey equation in a way that describes membranes ending on intersecting M5-branes which fact may also be viewed as an additional consistency check [19].

# 2 Membranes ending on M5-branes

Generalized Nahm equation

An equation due to Basu and Harvey, that is conjectured to describe a stack of N membranes ending on an M5-brane, is  $^1$ 

$$\frac{dX_i}{ds} + \frac{M_{11}^3}{8\pi\sqrt{2N}} \frac{1}{4!} \,\varepsilon_{ijkl}[G_5, X_j, X_k, X_l] = 0.$$
 (1)

Here  $M_{11}$  is the 11-dimensional Planck mass and the four variables  $X_i(s)$  are  $N \times N$  complex matrices [1]. The quantum Nambu bracket [20] for any four matrices  $A_i$  is defined by

$$[A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4] = \varepsilon_{ijkl} A_i A_j A_k A_l \,,$$

and the  $N \times N$  matrix  $G_5$  is the difference of two projections associated with two Spin(4) representations, see [1] for details. Essentially it is a higher dimensional analog of the (Euclidean) Dirac matrix  $\gamma_5 = \frac{1}{4!} \varepsilon_{ijkl} \gamma_i \gamma_j \gamma_k \gamma_l$  and in this note we will restrict ourselves to N=4, in which case  $G_5=\gamma_5$ . For an earlier appearance of the quantum Nambu bracket along with  $G_5$  in a stringy context see [21], and for a physical interpretation of the "chirality" matrix  $G_5$  the reader is referred to [22]. The parameter s runs orthogonal to the M5 and along the M2's.

It is well-known that a bound state of N D1-branes ending on a D3-brane in IIB string theory may be described – from the point of view of the D1 world-sheet dynamics – by Nahm's equation [2–4]. The proposed generalization for M-theory that ought to describe a stack of membranes ending on an M5 is equation (1), assuming for simplicity dependence on one spatial dimension only. Thus (1) should be understood as a world-volume equation for the membranes, more precisely, it should be the BPS equation describing the bound state. However, the action for coincident membranes from which this equation would follow as a BPS condition, is not known and the Basu-Harvey proposal remains a conjecture, although well-motivated.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Note the difference in the coefficient of the second term relative to the first version of [1]. I thank Jeff Harvey for pointing out this small mistake there.

We will assume  $\{\gamma_5, X_i\} = 0$ , thus (1) can be written

$$\frac{dX_i}{ds} + \frac{1}{12} \varepsilon_{ijkl} \gamma_5 X_j X_k X_l = 0, \qquad (2)$$

with an appropriate choice of units.

The range of s depends on the configuration of the branes. If a single M5 is placed at s = 0 and the membranes that end on it extend to infinity, then the range of s is the semi-infinite interval  $(0, +\infty)$ . The boundary condition is then the following,

$$X_i(s) = \frac{i\gamma_i}{\sqrt{s}} \quad \text{for} \quad s \to 0,$$
 (3)

which is the only power-like behaviour compatible with (2). In fact, the above form is a solution of (2) on the whole range  $(0, +\infty)$ .

If a stack of four membranes are suspended between two M5-branes, placed at  $s = \pm s_0$ , then the range of s is the finite open interval  $(-s_0, s_0)$ . The natural boundary conditions in this case are

$$X_i(s) = \frac{i\gamma_i}{\sqrt{s_0 \mp s}} + \dots \quad \text{for} \quad s \to \pm s_0.$$
 (4)

In this note we seek solutions of (2) on  $(-s_0, s_0)$  with the above boundary conditions.

Unfortunately, the action for multiple M5-branes or multiple coincident membranes, either of which would be necessary to compare our results with something, is not known. Thus the only justification for identifying our solutions with a specific M2-M5 geometry are the consistency checks performed in [1] and [19] supporting equation (1) as a viable candidate for describing such a bound state.

#### Exact solutions

In order to obtain explicit and exact solutions of (2) with boundary conditions (4) we pick the ansatz  $X_i = ia_{ij} \gamma_j$ , with a 4 × 4 matrix  $a = (a_{ij})$ . The generalized Nahm equation (2) gives for  $a_{ij}$ ,

$$\frac{da_{ij}}{ds} + \frac{1}{12} \,\varepsilon_{iklm} \,\varepsilon_{jnpq} \,a_{kn} \,a_{lp} \,a_{mq} = 0 \,. \tag{5}$$

Now using the formula  $\varepsilon_{ijkl} \det a = \varepsilon_{mnpq} a_{im} a_{jn} a_{kp} a_{lq}$ , for the determinant of a  $4 \times 4$  matrix, it follows that (suppressing indices  $i, j, \ldots$ , etc.)

$$\frac{d(aa^T)}{ds} + \det a = 0, \tag{6}$$

with  $a^T$  meaning the transpose of a. Thus the traceless part of the symmetric matrix  $aa^T$  is conserved. Let us split  $aa^T$  into a scalar part and a traceless symmetric part by writing  $aa^T$ 

x - C, where  $C = (C_{ij})$  is a constant, symmetric and traceless matrix and  $x = \text{Tr}(aa^T)/4$  is an unknown function of s. Then (6) implies

$$\left(\frac{dx}{ds}\right)^2 = (x - x_1)(x - x_2)(x - x_3)(x - x_4), \tag{7}$$

where the  $x_i$  are the eigenvalues of C, hence  $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 = 0$ . Thus we are led to study the elliptic curve

$$y^{2} = (x - x_{1})(x - x_{2})(x - x_{3})(x - x_{4}).$$
(8)

It is known on general grounds that solutions of (7) are elliptic functions with periods determined by the roots  $x_i$  [23]. Concretely, let us set

$$m = \frac{(x_2 - x_3)(x_1 - x_4)}{(x_2 - x_4)(x_1 - x_3)}$$

$$D = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(x_2 - x_4)(x_1 - x_3)},$$
(9)

and further impose

$$sn^2(Ds_0|m) = \frac{x_3 - x_1}{x_3 - x_2},$$
 (10)

where sn(z|m) and subsequently cn(z|m) and dn(z|m) are the Jacobi elliptic functions. It will not lead to confusion to omit the argument m and we will simply write sn(z), cn(z) and dn(z). In addition we introduce the useful function

$$\phi(s) = \frac{2D \, sn(Ds_0) \, cn(Ds_0) \, dn(Ds_0)}{sn^2(Ds_0) - sn^2(Ds)} \,. \tag{11}$$

Then we have the following exact solution of equation (7) that may be checked by direct substitution or by uniformization of the curve (8),

$$x(s) - x_{1} = \phi(s)$$

$$x(s) - x_{2} = \phi(s) \frac{sn^{2}(Ds)}{sn^{2}(Ds_{0})}$$

$$x(s) - x_{3} = \phi(s) \frac{cn^{2}(Ds)}{cn^{2}(Ds_{0})}$$

$$x(s) - x_{4} = \phi(s) \frac{dn^{2}(Ds)}{dn^{2}(Ds_{0})}.$$
(12)

Naturally, any of these expressions define x(s) unambiguously, but we will see that it is convenient to have explicit forms for  $x(s) - x_i$  for all i. The definitions and a number of useful

identities involving the Jacobi and Weierstrass elliptic functions as well as generalities on the curve (8) and its uniformization are given in an appendix.

As advertised, x(s) is an elliptic function indeed and has a simple pole at the location of the M5-branes,

$$x(s) = \frac{1}{s_0 \mp s} + \dots \quad \text{for} \quad s \to \pm s_0 \,, \tag{13}$$

which can be read off easily from (11). For generic complex values of D and m there are no other poles on the interval  $(-s_0, s_0)$ , but if they were both real one needed to impose  $Ds_0 < K(m)$ . Here K(m) is the complete elliptic integral of the first kind, see the appendix for its definition.

Let us proceed by reconstructing  $X_i(s)$  from x(s). It may be assumed that the constant, symmetric and traceless matrix C is diagonal. Since  $aa^T = x - C$ ,  $aa^T$  is diagonal as well and a can be chosen to be

$$a(s) = \operatorname{diag}(\sqrt{x(s) - x_1}, \sqrt{x(s) - x_2}, \sqrt{x(s) - x_3}, \sqrt{x(s) - x_4}). \tag{14}$$

Every other possible choice may be obtained by multiplying the above form by an orthogonal matrix from the right, since such a transformation does not affect  $aa^T$  and consequently nor x. However, it does affect the boundary condition (4) and hence we ignore these extra moduli.

Thus, using the ansatz  $X_i = ia_{ij}\gamma_j$  we conclude that the most general solution (within our ansatz) of the generalized Nahm equation is the 2-parameter family

$$X_{1}(s) = i\sqrt{\phi(s)} \gamma_{1}$$

$$X_{2}(s) = i\sqrt{\phi(s)} \frac{sn(Ds)}{sn(Ds_{0})} \gamma_{2}$$

$$X_{3}(s) = i\sqrt{\phi(s)} \frac{cn(Ds)}{cn(Ds_{0})} \gamma_{3}$$

$$X_{4}(s) = i\sqrt{\phi(s)} \frac{dn(Ds)}{dn(Ds_{0})} \gamma_{4},$$

$$(15)$$

parameterized by (D, m) up to O(4) where  $U \in O(4)$  acts according to  $a \to UaU^T$ . This transformation corresponds to having a non-diagonal C. The pole (13) shows that the boundary condition (4) is fulfilled and the constraint (10) was in fact necessary for having the poles in x(s) at the prescribed points  $s = \pm s_0$ . It might also be worth noting that the above solutions bare some resemblance to the ones found for SU(2) monopoles or equivalently for the D1-D3 brane system [24, 25]; see also [26].

Thus, assuming real D and m parameters as well as rotations by  $O(4,\mathbb{R})$  as opposed to complex (D,m) moduli and  $O(4,\mathbb{C})$ , we have obtained an 8 real dimensional relative moduli space. Relative, because there is an obvious symmetry  $X_i \to X_i + v_i$  with an arbitrary 4-vector  $v_i$  corresponding to over-all translations which was not taken into account.

It is easy to recover the single M5-brane solution (3) by shifting the M5's to s=0 and  $s=2s_0$ , and then taking the limit  $s_0 \to \infty$ . Let us suppose that both D and m are real, then in order to maintain  $s_0D < K(m)$  for fixed m, one needs to take the  $D \to 0$  limit first, followed by pushing one of the M5's to infinity, eventually leading to  $X_i = i\gamma_i/\sqrt{s}$ , as it should.

#### Coincident roots

We have so far assumed that all roots were different, but the above general solution simplifies considerably if the elliptic curve (8) degenerates. First, let us assume that two roots coincide,  $x_4 = x_1$ . In this case m = 0 and the Jacobi functions reduce to trigonometric (or hyperbolic) functions. Explicitly, we have

$$\phi(s) = \frac{D\sin(2Ds_0)}{\sin(D(s_0 - s))\sin(D(s_0 + s))}$$

$$X_1(s) = i\sqrt{\phi(s)}\gamma_1 \qquad X_2(s) = i\sqrt{\phi(s)}\frac{\sin(Ds)}{\sin(Ds_0)}\gamma_2$$

$$X_4(s) = i\sqrt{\phi(s)}\gamma_4 \qquad X_3(s) = i\sqrt{\phi(s)}\frac{\cos(Ds)}{\cos(Ds_0)}\gamma_3.$$
(16)

Note that for both real and purely imaginary D,  $\phi(s)$  is positive on the interval  $(-s_0, s_0)$ .

If a further degeneracy occurs and  $x_3 = x_2 = -iD$  as well as  $x_4 = x_1 = iD$  then the solutions will have a pole, provided D is real. Let us assume this, then the condition analogous to (10) gives  $s_0D = \pi/2$  and we find

$$\phi(s) = \frac{i\pi}{2s_0} \frac{\exp\left(\frac{i\pi s}{2s_0}\right)}{\cos\left(\frac{\pi s}{2s_0}\right)}$$

$$X_1(s) = i\sqrt{\phi(s)} \gamma_1 \qquad X_2(s) = i\sqrt{\bar{\phi}(s)} \gamma_2 \qquad X_3(s) = i\sqrt{\bar{\phi}(s)} \gamma_3 \qquad X_4(s) = i\sqrt{\phi(s)} \gamma_4.$$

$$(17)$$

Finally, we consider the case of three coinciding roots,  $x_1 = -3D$  and  $x_2 = x_3 = x_4 = D$ . Again, D has to be real and in order to have the poles at  $\pm s_0$  one has to impose  $s_0D = 1/2$ , which leads to the simple solutions

$$\phi(s) = \frac{2s_0}{(s_0 - s)(s_0 + s)}$$

$$X_1(s) = i\frac{s}{s_0} \sqrt{\phi(s)} \gamma_1 \qquad X_2(s) = i\sqrt{\phi(s)} \gamma_2 \qquad X_3(s) = i\sqrt{\phi(s)} \gamma_3 \qquad X_4(s) = i\sqrt{\phi(s)} \gamma_4.$$
(18)

Just as in (16),  $\phi(s)$  is positive between the two M5-branes. The above form is in fact the  $D \to 0$  limit of the most general solution (15) and the single M5-brane solution (3) may be obtained easily by pushing one of the M5's to infinity.

#### Interpretation

The very fact that solutions exist with the boundary conditions (4) immediately offers an interpretation for our geometry. This is because the transverse coordinates  $X_i$  close to one of the M5's behave just as for a single M5 [1]. The M2's open up like a funnel into the M5, thus our geometry can be interpreted as a finite length bi-funnel<sup>2</sup> opening up into M5-branes on both ends. On each end there is a self-dual string on the world volume of the M5 and hence our solution describes a kind of "non-abelian self-dual string". Again, this is very analogous to the D1-D3 brane system in type IIB string theory when two D3-branes are placed at a finite distance from each other and D1's are suspended between them [4]. In this case the fact that two D3-branes are present as opposed to one results in a non-abelian monopole as opposed to an abelian one.

### 3 Conclusions

The solutions we have obtained for a stack of four membranes stretching between M5-branes may easily be embedded into the  $N \times N$  setting describing N membranes. The ansatz  $X_i(s) = ia_{ij} \gamma_j$  becomes  $X_i(s) = ia_{ij} G_j$ , with  $G_j$  being the  $N \times N$  dimensional analogs of  $\gamma_i$  with similar algebraic properties [1]. In particular, the transverse coordinates  $X_i$  to the self-dual string may be viewed to take values in a fuzzy 3-sphere for which the matrices  $G_i$  form a basis [27]. For general N, however, these are not the most general solutions, only a subset and it would be interesting to find a complete description of the moduli space for any N.

Another interesting possibility would be studying periodically placed M5's and a periodic array of membranes stretching between them. Such a configuration – involving an M5 with membranes ending on it from both sides – would be suitable for dimensional reduction to string theory. The boundary conditions for the analogous configuration of D3-branes with D1's ending on it from both sides are well-known in the context of Nahm's transform for SU(n) gauge theory. However, we do not know what the boundary condition for the Basu-Harvey equation should be at the location of the M5 if membranes end on it from both sides.

More generally, it would be most desirable to explore what aspects of the Nahm transform and its properties are inherited by the generalized Nahm equation and what its implications are for M-theory – if any.

Finally, we hope that our results might be used to gain some insight into the nature of the non-abelian tensor theory that supposedly governs the dynamics of multiple M5-branes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Something that is not very useful in a household as opposed to a regular funnel, corresponding to a stack of M2's ending on a single M5.

## Appendix

In this appendix we have collected the basic definitions and notions related to the quartic  $f(x) = x^4 + 6px^2 + 4qx + r$ , see [23].

The roots  $x_i$  of the quartic f(x) can be expressed by square roots and roots of the cubic  $4u^3 - g_2u - g_3$ , where the elliptic invariants are

$$g_2 = r + 3p^2$$
,  $g_3 = pr - p^3 - q^2$ . (19)

Concretely, in terms of the roots  $e_i$  of the cubic  $4u^3 - g_2u - g_3 = 4(u - e_1)(u - e_2)(u - e_3)$  and  $v_i = \sqrt{-e_i - p}$  the roots of the quartic are

$$x_1 = v_1 + v_2 - v_3$$
  $x_2 = v_1 - v_2 + v_3$   
 $x_3 = -v_1 + v_2 + v_3$   $x_4 = -v_1 - v_2 - v_3$ . (20)

Uniformization of the genus one curve  $y^2 = x^4 + 6px^2 + 4qx + r$  in terms of a parameter s is

$$x = x_1 + \frac{\frac{1}{4}f'(x_1)}{\mathscr{P}(s) - \frac{1}{24}f''(x_1)}$$

$$y = \frac{-\frac{1}{4}f'(x_1)\mathscr{P}'(s)}{(\mathscr{P}(s) - \frac{1}{24}f''(x_1))^2},$$
(21)

if  $x_1$  is one of the roots, and where  $\mathscr{P}(s) = \mathscr{P}(s; g_2, g_3)$  is the Weierstrass elliptic function with invariants  $g_2$  and  $g_3$  given by (19). It satisfies the differential equation

$$\mathscr{P}'^2 = 4\,\mathscr{P}^3 - g_2\mathscr{P} - g_3\,. \tag{22}$$

Thus uniformization solves our basic equation (7), since dx/ds = y. We found it useful, however, to work with the Jacobi elliptic functions defined by

$$z = \int_{0}^{\varphi(z|m)} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1 - m \sin^2 t}}, \quad sn(z \mid m) = \sin \varphi(z \mid m), \quad cn(z \mid m) = \cos \varphi(z \mid m), \quad (23)$$

and  $dn^2(z|m) + m \operatorname{sn}^2(z|m) = 1$ . For m = 0 we have  $\operatorname{sn}(z|0) = \sin(z)$ ,  $\operatorname{cn}(z|0) = \cos(z)$  and  $\operatorname{dn}(z|0) = 1$ . The following identities for the derivatives with respect to z are useful for showing that (11-12) is indeed a solution of (7) and that there are the poles (13) at  $s = \pm s_0$ ,

$$sn'(z \mid m) = cn(z \mid m) dn(z \mid m)$$

$$cn'(z \mid m) = -sn(z \mid m) dn(z \mid m)$$

$$dn'(z \mid m) = -m sn(z \mid m) cn(z \mid m).$$
(24)

Now the solution (11-12) and the one above from uniformization are seen to be equivalent due to the identity

$$\mathscr{P}(z;g_2,g_3) = e_3 + \frac{e_1 - e_3}{sn^2(z\sqrt{e_1 - e_3} \mid \frac{e_2 - e_3}{e_1 - e_3})}.$$
 (25)

We have also made use of the complete elliptic integral of the first kind, which may be defined by

$$K(m) = \int_{0}^{\pi/2} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1 - m\sin^2(t)}}.$$
 (26)

The function sn has the following periodicity property,

$$sn(z + 2qK(m) + 2ipK(1 - m) \mid m) = (-1)^q sn(z \mid m),$$
(27)

for any integers p and p. Thus we see that the requirement  $s_0 D < K(m)$  is needed for real D and m values for the function  $\phi(s)$ , defined by (11), to have no pole on the interval  $(-s_0, s_0)$  apart from the boundary.

# Acknowledgements

I am grateful to Jan de Boer, Jeff Harvey, Diederik Roest and Bella Schelpe for various enlightening comments, remarks and discussion.

### References

- [1] A. Basu and J. A. Harvey, *The M2-M5 brane system and a generalized Nahm's equation*, Nucl. Phys. B **713** (2005) 136 [hep-th/0412310]
- [2] W. Nahm, A Simple Formalism For The BPS Monopole, Phys. Lett. B 90 (1980) 413
- [3] W. Nahm, The construction of all self-dual multimonopoles by the ADHM method, in Monopoles in quantum field theory, (World Scientific, 1981) 87
- [4] D. E. Diaconescu, *D-branes, monopoles and Nahm equations*, Nucl. Phys. B **503** (1997) 220 [hep-th/9608163]
- [5] M. J. Duff and K. S. Stelle, Multi-Membrane Solutions Of D=11 Supergravity, Phys. Lett. B **253** (1991) 113
- [6] R. Güven, Black p-brane solutions of D=11 supergravity theory, Phys. Lett. B **276** (1992) 49
- [7] G. Papadopoulos and P. K. Townsend, *Intersecting M-branes*, Phys. Lett. B **380** (1996) 273 [hep-th/9603087]

- [8] A. A. Tseytlin, Harmonic superpositions of M-branes, Nucl. Phys. B 475 (1996) 149 [hep-th/9604035]
- [9] J. P. Gauntlett, D. A. Kastor and J. H. Traschen, Overlapping Branes in M-Theory, Nucl. Phys. B 478 (1996) 544 [hep-th/9604179]
- [10] A. Strominger, Open p-branes, Phys. Lett. B 383 (1996) 44 [hep-th/9512059]
- [11] P. K. Townsend, Brane surgery, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 58 (1997) 163 [hep-th/9609217]
- [12] A. A. Tseytlin, Composite BPS configurations of p-branes in 10 and 11 dimensions, Class. Quant. Grav. 14 (1997) 2085 [hep-th/9702163]
- [13] J. P. Gauntlett, Intersecting branes, [hep-th/9705011]
- [14] E. Bergshoeff, E. Sezgin and P. K. Townsend, Supermembranes And Eleven-Dimensional Supergravity, Phys. Lett. B 189 (1987) 75
- [15] P. S. Howe, N. D. Lambert and P. C. West, The self-dual string soliton, Nucl. Phys. B 515 (1998) 203 [hep-th/9709014]
- [16] C. G. Callan and J. M. Maldacena, Brane dynamics from the Born-Infeld action, Nucl. Phys. B 513 (1998) 198 [hep-th/9708147]
- [17] G. W. Gibbons, Born-Infeld particles and Dirichlet p-branes, Nucl. Phys. B **514** (1998) 603 [hep-th/9709027]
- [18] N. R. Constable, R. C. Myers and O. Tafjord, The noncommutative bion core, Phys. Rev. D 61 (2000) 106009 [hep-th/9911136]
- [19] D. S. Berman and N. B. Copland, Five-brane calibrations and fuzzy funnels, Nucl. Phys. B 723 (2005) 117 [hep-th/0504044]
- [20] Y. Nambu, Generalized Hamiltonian Dynamics, Phys. Rev. D 7 (1973) 2405
- [21] M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, Tiny graviton matrix theory: DLCQ of IIB plane-wave string theory, a conjecture, JHEP 0409 (2004) 017 [hep-th/0406214]
- [22] M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari and M. Torabian, Classification of all 1/2 BPS solutions of the tiny graviton matrix theory, JHEP 0504 (2005) 001 [hep-th/0501001]
- [23] E. T. Whittaker and G. N. Watson, A course of modern analysis, (Cambridge Univ. Press, 1927)
- [24] S. A. Brown, H. Panagopoulos and M. K. Prasad, Two Separated SU(2) Yang-Mills Higgs Monopoles In The Adhmn Construction, Phys. Rev. D 26 (1982) 854
- [25] A. S. Dancer, Nahm's equations and hyperKahler geometry, Commun. Math. Phys. 158 (1993) 545
- [26] C. Papageorgakis and S. Ramgoolam, Large-small dualities between periodic collapsing / expanding branes and brane funnels, [hep-th/0504157]
- [27] A. P. Balachandran, S. Kurkcuoglu and S. Vaidya, Lectures on Fuzzy and Fuzzy SUSY Physics, [hep-th/0511114]